

ON THE ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURE OF THE UNITARY GROUP

ÉRIC RICARD AND CHRISTIAN ROSENDAL

ABSTRACT. We consider the unitary group \mathbb{U} of complex, separable, infinite-dimensional Hilbert space as a discrete group. It is proved that, whenever \mathbb{U} acts by isometries on a metric space, every orbit is bounded. Equivalently, \mathbb{U} is not the union of a countable chain of proper subgroups, and whenever $\mathbb{E} \subseteq \mathbb{U}$ generates \mathbb{U} , it does so by words of a fixed finite length.

A property of uncountable groups that has recently been studied by a number of authors is a strengthening of the property of uncountable cofinality that originated in the work of Jean-Pierre Serre on actions of groups on trees [Ser03]. Here an uncountable group G is said to have *uncountable cofinality* if G is not the union of a countable increasing chain of proper subgroups. Serre proved this to be one of the three conditions in his reformulation of when a group does not have fixed point free actions without inversions on trees and it has subsequently been confirmed for a great number of profinite groups (see, e.g., Koppelberg and Tits [KT74]) and groups of permutations of \mathbb{N} . The strengthening of this property, in which we are interested, comes from considering the additional condition on G that whenever E is a symmetric generating set for G containing the identity there is some finite n such that $G = E^n$, i.e., any element of G can be written as a word of length n using elements of E . We denote this condition by *Cayley boundedness* and it indeed corresponds to any Cayley graph for G being of bounded diameter in the word metric. George M. Bergman [Ber] originally proved that the conjunction of uncountable cofinality and Cayley boundedness holds for the infinite symmetric group S_∞ and subsequently this has been verified for a number of other groups. The purpose of this paper is to prove it for the unitary group of complex separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space ℓ_2 . However, before we begin, we should mention some of the equivalent formulations of these properties.

Consider a group G . It is fairly straightforward to see that G has uncountable cofinality and is Cayley bounded if and only if it satisfies the following property:

Whenever $\mathbb{W}_0 \subseteq \mathbb{W}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{W}_2 \dots \subseteq G = \bigcup_n \mathbb{W}_n$ is an increasing and exhaustive sequence of subsets of G there is some n and some k such that $\mathbb{W}_n^k = G$.

More interestingly is the fact that it is also equivalent to:

Whenever G acts by isometries on a metric space (X, d) every orbit is bounded.

Or for another variation:

Any left-invariant metric on G is bounded.

There are several names for these equivalent properties in the literature, but the two most common seem to be *Bergman property* and *strong uncountable cofinality*.

The reformulation in terms of isometric actions discloses that we are really dealing with a property of geometric group theory and indeed it does have quite evident geometric implications for other types of actions. For example, one can quite easily prove that any group with this property must also have properties (FH) and (FA), meaning that any action of the group by affine isometries on a real Hilbert space has a fixed point and similarly, any action of the group on a tree without inversions fixes a vertex. We should stress the fact that despite that we will use a bit of topology in our proof, the result is really about the unitary group as a *discrete* group. Thus there are no topological assumptions being made. We shall denote the unitary group of separable infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space ℓ_2 by $\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{U}(\ell_2)$. We recall that the inverse of a unitary operator is its adjoint, $U^{-1} = U^*$. To avoid confusion, we will use the blackboard bold font type $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \dots$ to denote subsets of \mathbb{U} and standard fonts A, B, \dots, a, b, \dots to denote individual operators in $\mathfrak{B}(\ell_2)$. Thus $\mathbb{A}^* = \{A^* \mid A \in \mathbb{A}\}$.

Theorem 1. *The unitary group \mathbb{U} of separable, infinite-dimensional, complex Hilbert space is Cayley bounded and has uncountable cofinality.*

The proof will proceed through a sequence of reductions showing that the sets \mathbb{W}_n of an exhaustive sequence of subsets are big in both an algebraic and an analytic sense. We first need the following result.

Theorem 2. (Brown and Percy [BP66]) *Any $T \in \mathbb{U}$ is a multiplicative commutator, i.e., $T = ABA^*B^*$ for appropriate $A, B \in \mathbb{U}$.*

Proposition 3. *Suppose $\mathbb{W}_0 \subseteq \mathbb{W}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathbb{U} = \bigcup_n \mathbb{W}_n$. Then there is a decomposition $\ell_2 = X \oplus X^\perp$ into closed infinite-dimensional subspaces, such that for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\mathbb{D} := \{T \in \mathbb{U} \mid T[X] = X\} = \mathbb{U}(X) \oplus \mathbb{U}(X^\perp) \subseteq \mathbb{W}_l^{20}$$

Proof. Suppose $\mathbb{W}_0 \subseteq \mathbb{W}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathbb{U} = \bigcup_n \mathbb{W}_n$. By instead considering the sequence

$$\mathbb{W}_0 \cap \mathbb{W}_0^* \subseteq \mathbb{W}_1 \cap \mathbb{W}_1^* \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathbb{U} = \bigcup_n \mathbb{W}_n \cap \mathbb{W}_n^*$$

we can suppose that each \mathbb{W}_n is symmetric, $\mathbb{W}_n = \mathbb{W}_n^*$. Now, write ℓ_2 as the direct sum of infinitely many infinite-dimensional closed subspaces $\ell_2 = (\sum_n \oplus X_n)_{\ell_2}$. Then for some n and all $T \in \mathbb{U}$, if $T[X_n] = X_n$ then there is some $S \in \mathbb{W}_n$ with $T \upharpoonright X_n = S \upharpoonright X_n$. If not, we would be able to find for each n some unitary operator T_n of X_n such that for all $S \in \mathbb{W}_n$, $T_n \neq S \upharpoonright X_n$. But then the infinite direct sum $T = \oplus_m T_m$ is such that for all n and $S \in \mathbb{W}_n$, $T \upharpoonright X_n = T_n \neq S \upharpoonright X_n$. In particular, $T \notin \bigcup_n \mathbb{W}_n$, contradicting our supposition.

So suppose this holds for n . Find infinite-dimensional closed subspaces $X, Y, Z \subseteq \ell_2$ such that $X = X_n$ and $X \oplus Y \oplus Z = \ell_2$. Let also $N, M \in \mathbb{U}$ be such that $N[X] = X \oplus Y$, $N[Y \oplus Z] = Z$, $M[X] = X \oplus Z$ and $M[Y \oplus Z] = Y$.

Clearly, if $A \in \mathbb{U}$ is such that $A[X \oplus Y] = X \oplus Y$, then for some $S \in \mathbb{W}_n$, $S[X] = X$, we have

$$A \upharpoonright X \oplus Y = NSN^* \upharpoonright X \oplus Y$$

and similarly, if $B \in \mathbb{U}$, $B[X \oplus Z] = X \oplus Z$, then there is $R \in \mathbb{W}_n$, $R[X] = X$, with

$$B \upharpoonright X \oplus Z = MRM^* \upharpoonright X \oplus Z$$

Now suppose that $T \in \mathbb{U}$, $T[X] = X$ and $T \upharpoonright X^\perp = T \upharpoonright Y \oplus Z = \text{id} \upharpoonright X^\perp$. By the theorem of Brown and Pearcy, there are unitary operators A and B on X such that $T \upharpoonright X = ABA^*B^*$. Extend now A and B to all of ℓ_2 by letting $A \upharpoonright X^\perp = B \upharpoonright X^\perp = \text{id} \upharpoonright X^\perp$.

Moreover, find S and R in \mathbb{W}_n as above, whence for $\hat{A} = NSN^*$ and $\hat{B} = MRM^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A} \upharpoonright X \oplus Y &= NSN^* \upharpoonright X \oplus Y = A \upharpoonright X \oplus Y \\ \hat{B} \upharpoonright X \oplus Z &= MRM^* \upharpoonright X \oplus Z = B \upharpoonright X \oplus Z\end{aligned}$$

whence $\hat{A} \upharpoonright Y = \text{id} \upharpoonright Y$, $\hat{B} \upharpoonright Z = \text{id} \upharpoonright Z$, $\hat{A}[Z] = Z$ and $\hat{B}[Y] = Y$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{A}^*\hat{B}^* \upharpoonright X &= ABA^*B^* \upharpoonright X = T \upharpoonright X, \\ \hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{A}^*\hat{B}^* \upharpoonright Y &= \hat{B}\hat{B}^* \upharpoonright Y = \text{id} \upharpoonright Y = T \upharpoonright Y,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{A}^*\hat{B}^* \upharpoonright Z = \hat{A}\hat{A}^* \upharpoonright Z = \text{id} \upharpoonright Z = T \upharpoonright Z,$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}T &= \hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{A}^*\hat{B}^* = (NSN^*)(MRM^*)(NS^*N^*)(MR^*M^*) \\ &= NS(N^*M)R(M^*N)S^*(N^*M)R^*M^* \in \mathbb{W}_m^9\end{aligned}$$

provided that $m \geq n$ is large enough such that $N, M, N^*M \in \mathbb{W}_m$. Notice however, that N and M do not depend on T , so $\mathbb{V} = \{T \in \mathbb{U} \mid T \upharpoonright X^\perp = \text{id} \upharpoonright X^\perp\} \subseteq \mathbb{W}_m^9$. Find now a $K \in \mathbb{U}$ such that $K[X] = X^\perp$. Then clearly, if $T \in \mathbb{U}$ satisfies $T[X] = X$, we have $T \in \mathbb{V}K\mathbb{V}K^*$. Let now $l \geq n$ be sufficiently big that $K \in \mathbb{W}_l$. Then we have $\mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{W}_l^9\mathbb{W}_l\mathbb{W}_l^9\mathbb{W}_l = \mathbb{W}_l^{20}$. \square

The *strong operator topology* on \mathbb{U} is the topology of pointwise convergence on ℓ_2 , i.e., $U_i \rightarrow U$ if for all $x \in \ell_2$, $U_i x \rightarrow Ux$. In this topology \mathbb{U} becomes a *Polish* space, i.e., a separable space whose topology is induced by a complete metric. Actually \mathbb{U} is a G_δ in $\mathfrak{B}(\ell_2)$ under this topology. A subset A of a Polish space X is said to be *analytic* if it is the image of another Polish space by a continuous function. Analytic sets have the Baire property, meaning that they differ from an open set by a meagre set (see, e.g., Kechris [Kec95] for the basics of descriptive set theory). The following result was proved in [Ros] as a byproduct of other computations, but for the readers convenience we include a simple proof here.

Proposition 4. *Assume that \mathbb{F} is a symmetric subset of \mathbb{U} , closed in the strong operator topology, and that U_0, U_1, U_2, \dots is a sequence of unitary operators such that \mathbb{U} is generated as a group by $\{U_n\}$ over \mathbb{F} . Then there is some finite n such that*

$$(\mathbb{F} \cup \{1, U_0, U_0^*, \dots, U_n, U_n^*\})^n = \mathbb{U}.$$

Proof. Define $\mathbb{W}_n = (\mathbb{F} \cup \{1, U_0, U_0^*, \dots, U_n, U_n^*\})^n$ and notice that (\mathbb{W}_n) is an increasing, exhaustive sequence of symmetric, analytic subsets of \mathbb{U} . Since the sets have the Baire property there is some k such that \mathbb{W}_k is comeagre in an open set and hence by Pettis' Theorem (see Kechris [Kec95]), $\mathbb{W}_k\mathbb{W}_k^* = \mathbb{W}_k^2$ contains an open neighbourhood of the identity in the strong operator topology. This implies that we can find some finite dimensional space $X \subseteq \ell_2$ such that if $U \upharpoonright X = 1$, then $U \in \mathbb{W}_k^2$. Now find a unitary operator V such that $Y := V[X] \subseteq X^\perp$. Then $V\mathbb{W}_k^2V^*$ contains all unitaries U such that $U \upharpoonright Y = 1$. Suppose now that U is an arbitrary unitary operator and find some finite dimensional space $Z \subseteq (X \oplus Y)^\perp$ such that

$U[X] \subseteq X \oplus Y \oplus Z$. Find now some $W_0 \in \mathbb{W}_k^2$ such that $W_0[Y] \subseteq (X \oplus Y \oplus Z)^\perp$, while $W_0 \upharpoonright X \oplus Z = I$. Then

$$W_0 U[X] \subseteq W_0[X \oplus Y \oplus Z] \subseteq X \oplus W_0[Y] \oplus Z \subseteq Y^\perp.$$

There is therefore some $W_1 \in V\mathbb{W}_k^2 V^*$ such that $W_1 W_0 U \upharpoonright X = I$. Thus we get $W_1 W_0 U \in \mathbb{W}_k^2$ and therefore

$$U \in W_0^* W_1^* \mathbb{W}_k^2 \subseteq \mathbb{W}_k^2 V \mathbb{W}_k^2 V^* \mathbb{W}_k^2$$

and

$$\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{W}_k^2 V \mathbb{W}_k^2 V^* \mathbb{W}_k^2.$$

Find now some sufficiently big $m > k$ such that $V, V^* \in \mathbb{W}_m$, then

$$\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{W}_k^2 V \mathbb{W}_k^2 V^* \mathbb{W}_k^2 = \mathbb{W}_k^2 \mathbb{W}_m \mathbb{W}_k^2 \mathbb{W}_m \mathbb{W}_k^2 = \mathbb{W}_m^8 = \mathbb{W}_{8m}.$$

So $n = 8m$ works. \square

We now fix some increasing, exhaustive sequence (\mathbb{W}_n) of subsets of \mathbb{U} , and note that by considering instead $(\mathbb{W}_n \cap \mathbb{W}_n^*)$ we can assume they are symmetric. By Proposition 3, we also fix a decomposition $\ell_2 = X \oplus X^\perp$ into closed infinite-dimensional subspaces such that $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{U}(X) \oplus \mathbb{U}(X^\perp) \subseteq \mathbb{W}_l^{20}$ for some l .

Proposition 5. \mathbb{U} is finitely generated over \mathbb{D} .

We notice that this will indeed be enough to prove Theorem 1. For combining Propositions 4 and 5, we get that \mathbb{U} has bounded length with respect to \mathbb{D} and the family $U_1, U_1^*, \dots, U_8, U_8^*$ that we will prove generates \mathbb{U} over \mathbb{D} , in other words, there is an integer k such that any unitary U in \mathbb{U} can be written as a product $U = V_1 \dots V_k$ where V_i either belongs to \mathbb{D} or is one of U_i or U_i^* .

We also note also that, though we have not so far been able to calculate it, the k in the definition of the Bergman property does not depend on the sequence (\mathbb{W}_i) . It is known that this uniformity does not hold in all groups with the Bergman property, as, e.g., it fails in the full group of a countable measure preserving equivalence relation (see B.D. Miller [Mil04]).

From now on, we will use identifications of both X and X^\perp with $\ell_2 = \ell_2(\mathbb{N})$ with its canonical basis and see endomorphisms of $X \oplus X^\perp$ as two by two matrices with entries in $\mathfrak{B}(\ell_2)$.

A partial isometry is a map u on ℓ_2 so that $u^*u = p$ and $uu^* = q$ are orthogonal projections. We say that u is a partial isometry with initial space $\text{Im } p$ and final space $\text{Im } q$ (or from $\text{Im } p$ to $\text{Im } q$), where $\text{Im } T$ denotes the closure of the range of an operator T , and notice that, in this case, u is actually an isometric bijection between $\text{Im } p$ and $\text{Im } q$. We recall also the polar decomposition of an operator: Every operator T can be decomposed as $T = u|T|$, where $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$ is positive, self-adjoint, $\text{Ker } |T| = \text{Ker } T$, and u is a partial isometry from $\text{Im } |T| = (\text{Ker } T)^\perp$ to $\text{Im } T$.

Let S be the shift operator on ℓ_2 , and L be an isometry from ℓ_2 to an infinite codimensional subspace ($L(e_i) = e_{2i}$ for instance). For any isometry u , p_u will be the projection $1 - uu^*$. Let \mathbb{W} be the subgroup of \mathbb{U} generated by \mathbb{D} and the matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & p_u \\ 0 & u^* \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u^* \\ u & -uu^* + \sqrt{2}p_u \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u^{*2} \\ u^2 & -u^2u^{*2} + \sqrt{2}p_{u^2} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where u is either 1, S or L .

\mathbb{W} is generated by \mathbb{D} and eight unitaries, that we denote by U_1, \dots, U_8 . We will show that $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{U}$.

Lemma 6. *Let $\begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix}$ be an isometry from ℓ_2 to $\ell_2 \oplus \ell_2$, then there is a partial isometry v from $\text{Im } 1 - A^*A$ to $\text{Im } B$ so that $B = v(1 - A^*A)^{1/2}$.*

Proof. Since $\begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix}$ is an isometry, we get that $A^*A + B^*B = 1$. So we conclude that $|B| = (1 - A^*A)^{1/2}$, the last part follows from the polar decomposition and the fact that for any positive operator T , $\text{Im } T = \text{Im } T^{1/2}$. \square

Lemma 7. *\mathbb{W} contains all matrices of the form*

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & p_u \\ 0 & u^* \end{bmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u^* \\ u & -uu^* + \sqrt{2}p_u \end{bmatrix},$$

where u is an isometry.

Proof. First, remark that up to multiplications by unitaries any isometry u is determined only by the dimension of $\text{Im } p_u$. So multiplying by elements in \mathbb{D} , we only need to prove the lemma for $u = S^k$, $u = L$ and $u = 1$. The only non-trivial cases are for $u = S^k$. For the first case, we notice that

$$\begin{bmatrix} S & p_S \\ 0 & S^* \end{bmatrix}^k = \begin{bmatrix} S^k & A \\ 0 & S^{*k} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus $\begin{bmatrix} A \\ S^{*k} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} S^{*k} \\ A^* \end{bmatrix}$ are isometries from ℓ_2 to $\ell_2 \oplus \ell_2$. Hence, as S^{*k} is an isometry on $(\text{Im } p_{S^k})^\perp = [e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \dots]$, we have $A \upharpoonright (\text{Im } p_{S^k})^\perp = A^* \upharpoonright (\text{Im } p_{S^k})^\perp = 0$, while, as $S^{*k} \upharpoonright \text{Im } p_{S^k} = 0$, A and A^* must be isometries on $\text{Im } p_{S^k}$. Therefore, A is a partial isometry with initial and final space $\text{Im } p_{S^k}$. Letting $U = (1 - p_{S^k}) + A$, we see that U is unitary and that

$$\begin{bmatrix} S & p_S \\ 0 & S^* \end{bmatrix}^k \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & U^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S^k & p_{S^k} \\ 0 & S^{*k} \end{bmatrix}.$$

For the other case, we notice that by definition $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & S^{*k} \\ S^k & -S^k S^{*k} + \sqrt{2}p_{S^k} \end{bmatrix}$ is in \mathbb{W} for $k = 1$ and $k = 2$. Now

$$\begin{bmatrix} S & p_S \\ 0 & S^* \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} 1 & S^{*k} \\ S^k & -S^k S^{*k} + \sqrt{2}p_{S^k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S & p_S \\ 0 & S^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & S^{*(k+2)} \\ S^{k+2} & X \end{bmatrix},$$

where $X = p_S + p_S S^{*(k+1)} + S^{k+1} p_S - S^{k+1} S^{*(k+1)} + \sqrt{2} S p_S S^*$.

Let $V = -S^{k+2} S^{*(k+2)} + \sqrt{2} p_{S^{k+2}}$ and let u be the unitary operator given by $u(e_1) = \frac{e_1 + e_{k+2}}{\sqrt{2}}$, $u(e_{k+2}) = \frac{e_1 - e_{k+2}}{\sqrt{2}}$, while $u(e_i) = e_i$ for all $i \neq 1, k+2$. One can check, by, e.g., considering the action on vectors, that $X = uV$ and, as u is the identity on $[e_1, e_{k+2}]^\perp$, $u^* S^{k+2} = S^{k+2}$. Thus

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & S^{*(k+2)} \\ S^{k+2} & V \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & S^{*(k+2)} \\ S^{k+2} & X \end{bmatrix},$$

and the lemma follows by induction. \square

The matrices appearing the preceding lemma are actually special cases of the more general form of a unitary that will appear in the following.

Proof of Proposition 5: We have to prove that any unitary belongs to \mathbb{W} . The strategy is to start with an arbitrary unitary viewed as a 2 by 2 matrix $U = \begin{bmatrix} T & M \\ N & K \end{bmatrix}$ and to multiply it by elements in \mathbb{W} to get simpler forms.

First, we can assume that T is positive. To see this, notice that by replacing U by U^* we can assume that $\dim \text{Ker } T \leq \dim \text{Ker } T^*$. We have $T = u|T|$ for some partial isometry u from $\text{Im } |T| = (\text{Ker } T)^\perp$ to $\text{Im } T = (\text{Ker } T^*)^\perp$, and our hypothesis $\dim \text{Ker } T \leq \dim \text{Ker } T^*$ implies that u can be extended to an isometry \tilde{u} so that $T = \tilde{u}|T|$. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{u} & p\tilde{u} \\ 0 & \tilde{u}^* \end{bmatrix}^* U = \begin{bmatrix} |T| & * \\ * & * \end{bmatrix},$$

which proves our claim.

Applying lemma 6 twice, we only need to prove that a unitary of the form

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} T & (1 - T^2)^{1/2}v^* \\ u(1 - T^2)^{1/2} & X \end{bmatrix}$$

is in \mathbb{W} , where u and v are partial isometries with initial space $\text{Im } (1 - T^2)^{1/2} = (\text{Ker } (1 - T^2))^\perp = (\text{Ker } (1 - T))^\perp$ (as T is positive). So $u^*u = v^*v$ is the projection onto $\text{Im } (1 - T^2)^{1/2}$. Let $uu^* = 1 - p$ and $vv^* = 1 - q$ and note that $u^*p = pu = v^*q = qv = 0$.

The fact that U is a unitary means that $U^*U = UU^* = 1$, and writing down the computation on matrices, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & u(1 - T^2)u^* + XX^* = 1, \\ (ii) \quad & v(1 - T^2)v^* + X^*X = 1, \\ (iii) \quad & u(1 - T^2)^{1/2}T + Xv(1 - T^2)^{1/2} = 0, \\ (iv) \quad & v(1 - T^2)^{1/2}T + X^*u(1 - T^2)^{1/2} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now $u^*u = v^*v$ is the projection onto $\text{Im } (1 - T^2)^{1/2}$, so as T and $(1 - T^2)^{1/2}$ commute, we have by (iii)

$$uT(1 - T^2)^{1/2} + Xv(1 - T^2)^{1/2} = 0,$$

whence $-uT$ and Xv agree on $\text{Im } (1 - T^2)^{1/2} = \text{Im } v^*$. So

$$-uTv^* = Xvv^* = X(1 - q).$$

Similarly, using (iv) we have

$$-vTu^* = X^*uu^* = X^*(1 - p).$$

Thus $-uTv^* = X(1 - q) = (1 - p)X$.

Using (ii) we have $|X| = (X^*X)^{1/2} = (1 - v(1 - T^2)v^*)^{1/2} = (q + vTv^*)^{1/2}$. Now, q is the projection onto $(\text{Im } v)^\perp$, so $qvT^2v^* = 0 = vT^2v^*q$, and, using that $m^{1/2}$ is the operator limit of polynomials in m , we therefore have (as v^*v commutes with T)

$$(q + vT^2v^*)^{1/2} = q^{1/2} + (vT^2v^*)^{1/2} = q + vTv^*$$

Hence, by the polar decomposition, there is a partial isometry α with initial space $\text{Im}(q + vTv^*)^{1/2}$ so that $X = \alpha(q + vTv^*)^{1/2}$. The initial space of α is $\text{Im } q + vTv^* = \text{Im } q + \text{Im } vTv^*$ and therefore $\alpha^*\alpha \geq q$. Now,

$$X = Xq + X(1 - q) = \alpha(q + vTv^*)q - uTv^* = \alpha q - uTv^*.$$

Similarly, using (i), we see that $X = (p + uTu^*)\beta^*$, where β is a partial isometry with initial space $\text{Im } p + uTu^* = \text{Im } p + \text{Im } uTu^*$, whence $\beta^*\beta \geq p$, and

$$X = pX + (1 - p)X = p\beta^* - uTv^*.$$

So $p\beta^* = \alpha q = pX = Xq = pXq$.

Using $\alpha^*\alpha \geq q$, we see that $\alpha q = p\beta^*$ is an isometry with initial space $\text{Im } q$, and, as $\beta^*\beta \geq p$, $\alpha q = p\beta^*$ has final space $\text{Im } p$.

To summarise,

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} T & (1 - T^2)^{1/2}v^* \\ u(1 - T^2)^{1/2} & -uTv^* + \delta \end{bmatrix},$$

where u is a partial isometry from $(\text{Ker } 1 - T)^\perp$ to $\text{Im } 1 - p$, v is a partial isometry from $(\text{Ker } 1 - T)^\perp$ to $\text{Im } 1 - q$ and $\delta = \alpha q = p\beta^*$ is a partial isometry from $\text{Im } p$ to $\text{Im } q$.

Consequently, one can find a unitary s so that $v = su$ and that coincides with δ on $\text{Im } p$. So

$$U' = U \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T & (1 - T^2)^{1/2}u^* \\ u(1 - T^2)^{1/2} & -uTu^* + p \end{bmatrix}.$$

In particular, U' is self-adjoint, and note that $\text{Ker } 1 - uTu^* = \{0\}$.

The next step is to show that we can suppose that u is an isometry. To do this, it suffices to write $T' = T - q'$ where q' is the projection onto $\text{Ker } 1 - T$. So then $\text{Ker } 1 - T' = \{0\}$. Then $T'q' = 0$, $q'T' = q' - q'T = q' - (Tq')^* = q' - q' = 0$, and $q'u^* = 0$, so $(1 - T^2)^{1/2}u^* = (1 - T'^2)^{1/2}u^*$ and

$$U' = \begin{bmatrix} T' + q' & (1 - T'^2)^{1/2}u^* \\ u(1 - T'^2)^{1/2} & -uT'u^* + p \end{bmatrix}$$

where u is a partial isometry from $\text{Im } 1 - q'$ onto $\text{Im } 1 - p$ and $\text{Ker } 1 - T' = \{0\}$.

The previous formula is now symmetric in terms of T and uTu^* as $\text{Ker } 1 - T' = \text{Ker } 1 - uT'u^* = \{0\}$. We mean that $T = T' + q'$ and $-uTu^* + p = -uT'u^* + p$ play exactly the same role in the above formula. So, using a conjugation with $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ to reverse the roles of p and q' , we therefore can assume that $\dim \text{Im } p \geq \dim \text{Im } q'$. Using other conjugations with elements in \mathbb{D} , we can assume that $q' \leq p$ and u is a partial isometry from $1 - q'$ to $1 - p$.

With these choices, it is then easy to find an isometry w extending u , that is $(w - u)(1 - q') = 0$, so that replacing $T' + q'$ by T' , we can suppose that U' is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} T' & (1 - T'^2)^{1/2}u^* \\ u(1 - T'^2)^{1/2} & -uT'u^* + p \end{bmatrix}$$

where u is an isometry from ℓ_2 to $\text{Im } 1 - p$. Note that the matrices of Lemma 7 are of this form.

T' is a self-adjoint positive contraction on ℓ_2 , so using the functional calculus, define $A = \exp(i \arccos T')$ and $B = \exp(-i \arccos T')$. These are two unitaries with

$$A + B = 2 \cos(\arccos T') = 2T' \quad \text{and} \quad A - B = 2i \sin(\arccos T') = 2i(1 - T'^2)^{1/2}.$$

Notice also that $uBu^* - p$ is unitary, actually $p = p_u$ with the notations of Lemma 7. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u^* \\ u & -uu^* + \sqrt{2}p \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & uBu^* - p \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u^* \\ u & -uu^* + \sqrt{2}p \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} T' & i(1 - T'^2)^{1/2}u^* \\ iu(1 - T'^2)^{1/2} & uT'u^* - p \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} T' & (1 - T'^2)^{1/2}u^* \\ u(1 - T'^2)^{1/2} & -uT'u^* + p \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

So $U \in \mathbb{W}$. □

There should be a proof of the bounded length of \mathbb{U} that avoids the Baire category argument.

Address of É. Ricard:

Laboratoire de Mathématiques,

Université de Franche-Comté,

16 Route de Gray,

25030 Besançon Cedex

France

`eric.ricard@math.univ-fcomte.fr`

Address of C. Rosendal:

Department of mathematics,

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign,

273 Altgeld Hall, MC 382,

1409 W. Green Street,

Urbana, IL 61801,

USA.

`rosendal@math.uiuc.edu`

REFERENCES

- [Ber] George M. Bergman. Generating infinite symmetric groups. To appear in Bull. London Math. Soc.
- [BP66] Arlen Brown and Carl Pearcy. Multiplicative commutators of operators. *Canad. J. Math.*, 18:737–749, 1966.
- [Kec95] Alexander S. Kechris. *Classical descriptive set theory*, volume 156 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [KT74] Sabine Koppelberg and Jacques Tits. Une propriété des produits directs infinis de groupes finis isomorphes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A*, 279:583–585, 1974.
- [Mil04] Benjamin David Miller. *Full groups, classification, and equivalence relations*. PhD thesis, UC Berkeley, 2004.
- [Ros] Christian Rosendal. A topological version of the Bergman property. Preprint.
- [Ser03] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Trees*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. Translated from the French original by John Stillwell, Corrected 2nd printing of the 1980 English translation.